Hypothesis tests for two or more means
Overview

Worksheet 8 questions

Review of hypothesis testing for a single proportion

Hypothesis tests for two means

Hypothesis tests for more than 2 means
Worksheet 8 questions?

How did the Lock5 questions go?
Worksheet 8 question: Is Paul psychic?

Why/why not?
Review: hypothesis tests for a single proportion
Five steps of hypothesis testing

1. Assume innocence: $H_0$ is true
   - State $H_0$ and $H_A$

2. Gather evidence
   - Calculate the observed statistic

3. Create a distribution of what evidence would look like if $H_0$ is true
   - Null distribution

4. Assess the probability that the observed evidence would come from the null distribution
   - p-value

5. Make a judgement
   - Assess whether the results are statistically significant
Adult persistence of head-turning asymmetry

Background:

• Most people are right handed, right eye dominant, etc.
• Biologists have suggested that human embryos tend to turn their heads to the right as well.

German bio-psychologist Onur Güntürkün conjectured that this tendency manifests itself in other ways, so he studies which ways people turn their heads when they kiss.
Adult persistence of head-turning asymmetry

He and his researchers observed kissing couples in public places and noted whether the couple leaned their heads to the right or left.

They observed 124 couples, ages 13-70 years.
Adult persistence of head-turning asymmetry

Please write down answers to these questions:

1. What are the observational units?
2. What are the variables (categorical or quantitative)?
3. What is Onur’s conjecture? How would you state the null and alternative hypothesis in words and in symbols?
Of the 124 couples observed, 80 leaned their heads to the right while kissing

• Run a hypothesis test by going through the 5 steps....
Adult persistence of head-turning asymmetry

1. $H_0: \pi = 0.5 \quad H_A: \pi > 0.5$
2. $\hat{\rho} = \frac{80}{124} = 0.64$
3. `null_dist <- rbinom(num_sims, size, prob)/size`
4. `p_value <- sum(null_dist >= obs_stat)/num_sims`  # p-value = 0.0007
5. Decision?
One-tailed vs. two-tailed

In two-tailed the parameter could be more or less extreme than the hypothesized null parameter

\[ H_0: \pi = 0.25 \quad H_A: \pi \neq 0.25 \]

For a two-tailed alternative: Find the proportion of randomization samples in the tails beyond the observed statistic and 1 - the observed statistic
Hypothesis tests for comparing two means

Question: Is this pill effective?
Testing whether a pill is effective

How would we design a study?

What would the cases and variables be?

What would the statistic of interest be?

What are the null and alternative hypotheses?
  • Assume we are looking for differences in means between the groups
Experimental design

Take a group of participants and randomly assign:

- Half to a treatment group where they get the pill
- Half in a control group where they get a fake pill (placebo)
- See if there is more improvement in the treatment group compared to the control group
Hypothesis tests for differences in two group means

1) State the null and alternative hypothesis
   • $H_0$: $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} = \mu_{\text{Control}}$ or $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} - \mu_{\text{Control}} = 0$
   • $H_A$: $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} > \mu_{\text{Control}}$ or $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} - \mu_{\text{Control}} > 0$

2) Calculate statistic of interest
   • $\bar{x}_{\text{Effect}} = \bar{x}_{\text{Treatment}} - \bar{x}_{\text{Control}}$
Example: Does calcium reduce blood pressure?


- A treatment group of 10 men received a calcium supplement for 12 weeks
- A control group of 11 men received a placebo during the same period

The blood pressure of these men was taken before and after the 12 weeks of the study

1) What are the null and alternative hypotheses?

- $H_0$: $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} = \mu_{\text{Control}}$ or $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} - \mu_{\text{Control}} = 0$
- $H_A$: $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} > \mu_{\text{Control}}$ or $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} - \mu_{\text{Control}} > 0$

- i.e., a greater decrease in blood pressure after taking calcium
Does calcium reduce blood pressure?

Treatment data (n = 10):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin</th>
<th>107</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>123</th>
<th>129</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>111</th>
<th>107</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>136</th>
<th>102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control data (n = 11):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Begin</th>
<th>123</th>
<th>109</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>102</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>114</th>
<th>119</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>117</th>
<th>130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) What is the observed statistic of interest?
   • $\bar{x}_{\text{Effect}} = 5 - -0.2727 = 5.273$

3) What is step 3?
3. Create the null distribution!

How could we create the null distribution?

Need to generate data consistent with $H_0$: $\mu_{\text{Treatment}} - \mu_{\text{Control}} = 0$

- i.e., we need fake $\bar{x}_{\text{Effect}}$ that are consistent with $H_0$

Any ideas how we could do this?
3. Create the null distribution!

Reconstructed participant pool data under $H_0$

Shuffle data for random assignment consistent with $H_0$

Shuffled ‘treatment group’  Shuffled ‘control group’

One null distribution statistic: $\bar{x}_{\text{Shuff\_Treatment}} - \bar{x}_{\text{Shuff\_control}}$
3. Create a null distribution

1) Combine data from both groups

2) Shuffle data

3) Randomly select 10 points to be the ‘null’ treatment group

4) Take the remaining points to the ‘null’ control group.

5) Compute the statistic of interest on these ‘null’ groups

6) Repeat 10,000 times to get a null distribution
# the data from the calcium study
> treat <- c(7, -4, 18, 17, -3, -5, 1, 10, 11, -2)
> control <- c(-1, 12, -1, -3, 3, -5, 5, 2, -11, -1, -3)

# observed statistic
> obs_stat <- mean(treat) - mean(control)

# Combine data from both groups
> combined_data <- c(treat, control)

3. Creating a null distribution in R
3. Creating a null distribution in R

null_distribution <- NULL
for (i in 1:10000) {

    # shuffle data
    shuff_data <- sample(combined_data)

    # create fake treatment and control groups
    shuff_treat  <- shuff_data[1:10]
    shuff_control <- shuff_data[11:21]

    # save the statistic of interest
    null_distribution[i] <- mean(shuff_treat) - mean(shuff_control)
}
hist(null_distribution, nclass = 200)
4. Calculate the p-value

# 8) Calculate the p-value
   > p_value <- sum(null_distribution >= obs_stat)/10000

p-value = .064

Next step?
5. Are the results statistically significant?

What should we do?
More/larger studies!
Worksheet 9

Worksheet 9 is due at 11:59pm on Sunday November 11th

> source('/home/shared/intro_stats/cs206_functions.R')
> get_worksheet(9)